CHRISTOPHER M. PETERSON 1 Nevada Bar No.: 13932 TATIANA R. SMITH 2 Nevada Bar No.: 16627 **AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES** 3 UNION OF NEVADA 4362 W. Cheyenne Ave. 4 North Las Vegas, NV 89032 Telephone: (702) 366-1226 5 Facsimile: (702)-718-3213 Emails: peterson@aclunv.org 6 tsmith@aclunv.org 7 MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE 8 Nevada Bar No.: 10931 LEO S. WOLPERT 9 Nevada Bar No.: 12658 MCLETCHIE LAW 10 602 South Tenth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 11 Telephone: (702) 728-5300 Fax: (702) 425-8220 12 Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com 13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 15 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 16 LISA MCALLISTER, an individual; and Case No.: 2:24-cy-00334 17 BRANDON SUMMERS, an individual, Plaintiffs, 18 VS. 19 ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR CLARK COUNTY, a political subdivision of DISCOVERY DEADLINES the state of Nevada, 20 (FIRST REQUEST) Defendant. 21 22 Plaintiffs, LISA MCALLISTER and BRANDON SUMMERS ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant, 23 CLARK COUNTY, by their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the Discovery 24 25

Plan and Scheduling Order an additional **30 days**. This Stipulation is sought in good faith and not for the purposes of delay.

Parties hereby stipulate pursuant to Rules 16 and 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as follows:

#### I. STATUS OF DISCOVERY

## A. Plaintiffs' Discovery

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1. Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1) dated May 20, 2024.
- 2. Plaintiffs' First Supplemental Initial Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1) dated September 17, 2024.
- 3. Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production to Defendant dated September 25, 2024.
  - 4. Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant dated October 4, 2024.

#### B. Defendant's Discovery

- 5. Defendant's Initial Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents Pursuant to FRCP 26.1(a)(1) dated September 17, 2024.
- 6. Defendant's Answers to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant dated November 14, 2024.
- 7. Defendant's Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production to Defendant dated November 14, 2024.
  - 8. Defendant's First Supplemental Disclosures dated November 14, 2024.

## II. Discovery that Remains to Be Completed.

Plaintiffs are reviewing Defendant's responses to Plaintiffs' interrogatories and requests for productions. For the reasons explained below, the Parties will need additional time to meet and confer with Defendant regarding these discovery answers before submitting an amended complaint and

continuing discovery, which will include depositions and, potentially, expert discovery.

## III. Specific Description of Why an Extension Is Necessary.

The Parties seek a 30-day extension for the current discovery deadlines in the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order [ECF No. 54]. The Parties acknowledge that a showing of good cause is necessary for any modifications to a scheduling order within 21 days of a deadline being modified. Local Rule 26-3. The Parties assert that good cause exists for a few reasons.

First, Plaintiffs submitted 82 requests in their First Set of Requests for Production and 9 interrogatories in their First Set of Interrogatories. Defendants' counsel requested additional time to complete their discovery responses, which Plaintiffs' counsel agreed to as a professional courtesy. After reviewing Defendants' responses, Plaintiffs' counsel determined that a meet and confer will be necessary to discuss potential disputes. However, due to the holiday season and Plaintiffs' counsels other professional obligations, including multiple filing deadlines before the Nevada Supreme Court and multiple mediations to resolve matters pending before the United States District Court for the State of Nevada, Plaintiffs' counsel needs more time to finalize their review of the discovery responses, provide opposing counsel with the specific objections that Plaintiffs dispute, and arrange time for the parties to meet and confer.

Second, Plaintiffs are finalizing a proposed amended complaint (1) adding a new party with claims legally identical to Plaintiff Summers and (2) clarifying the factual and legal basis for the existing claims raised by Plaintiff Summers. While it is Plaintiffs' understanding that they may file an amended complaint without consulting with opposing counsel, it is also Plaintiffs' understanding that this Court prefers that the parties attempt to resolve any disputes before resorting to motion practice even under circumstances where a meet-and-confer is not required, including motions to amend. *See Int'l Mkts. Live, Inc. v. Imonite*, No. 2:22-cv-01863-GMN-BNW, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 123144, at \*6 (D. Nev. July 17, 2023) ("Plaintiff refiled the motion shortly after removal on November 22, 2022, *not realizing that the Court preferred parties to meet-and-confer before filing a* 

 $\overline{^{1}}$  As 30 days from May 2, 2025, is a Sunday, June 1, 2025, the next date is June 2, 2025.

*motion to amend*." (emphasis added)). For the same scheduling reasons identified above, the parties are requesting additional time to review the proposed amendments and meet-and-confer if necessary.

Plaintiffs believe that these reasons establish good cause for extending the current deadlines and offer the following proposed schedule for completing all deadlines.

# IV. Proposed Schedule for Completing All Remaining Deadlines

	<b>Current Deadline</b>	[Proposed] New Deadline	
Amend Pleadings and Add Parties	December 3, 2024	January 2, 2025	
Initial Expert Disclosures	January 2, 2025	February 1, 2025	
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	February 3, 2025	March 5, 2025	
Discovery Cut-Off	March 3, 2025	April 2, 2025	
Dispositive Motions	April 2, 2025	May 2, 2025	
Pretrial Order	May 2, 2025	June 2, 2025 <sup>1</sup>	
	(If dispositive motions are	(If dispositive motions are	
	filed, the deadline shall be	filed, the deadline shall be	
	suspended until thirty (30)	suspended until thirty (30)	
	days after the decision of	days after the decision of the	
	the dispositive motions or	dispositive motions or further	
	further order of the Court.)	order of the Court.)	

## [REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

1	Based on the foregoing supuration and proposed deadlines plan, the Parties request that t		
2	Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order deadlines be extended an additional 30 days.		
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED		
4	DATED: November 26, 2024		
5	CLARK COUNTY	ACLU OF NEVADA	
6	/s/ Joel K. Browning	/s/ Christopher M. Peterson	
7	STEVEN B. WOLFSON	CHRISTOPHER M. PETERSON	
′	District Attorney	Nevada Bar No.: 13932	
8	CIVIL DIVISION	TATIANA R. SMITH	
٥	Bar No. 1565	Nevada Bar No.: 16627	
9	JOEL K. BROWNING	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES	
	Deputy District Attorney	UNION OF NEVADA	
10	Bar No. 14489	4362 W. Cheyenne Ave.	
	JEFFREY S. ROGAN	North Las Vegas, NV 89032	
11	Deputy District Attorney	Telephone: (702) 366-1226	
	State Bar No. 010734	Facsimile: (702) 718-3213	
12	500 South Grand Central Pkwy.,	Email: peterson@aclunv.org	
	Suite 5075		
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2215	MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE	
	Telephone (702) 455-4761	Nevada Bar No.: 10931	
14	Fax (702) 382-5178	LEO S. WOLPERT	
1.5	Joel.Browning@ClarkCountyDA.com	Nevada Bar No.: 12658	
15	Jeffrey.Rogan@ClarkCountyDA.com	MCLETCHIE LAW	
16		602 South Tenth Street	
10	Attorneys for Defendant Clark County	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
17		Telephone: (702) 728-5300	
- /		Fax: (702) 425-8220	
18		Email: maggie@nvlitigation.com	
19		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
20			
21			
		IT IS SO ORDERED:	
22		16	
23		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
24		DATED: November 27, 2024	
25			